

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

After the foregoing Amendment, claims 2, 7, 8 and 25 are currently pending in this application. Claim 2 is amended for antecedent basis corrections. Applicant submits that no new matter has been introduced into the application by these amendments.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC §112

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 for having insufficient antecedent basis. Claim 2 has been amended with the proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

Claims 2, 7, 8 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 20020068570 to Abrol et al. (hereinafter “Abrol”) in view of 20070129072 to Yamato et al. (hereinafter “Yamato”). The Applicants disagree. The combination of Abrol and Yamato fail to suggest, either alone or in combination, transmitting query messages containing an indication of the first wireless system and the specific latitude-longitude location of the handset in a first operating mode on a second wireless subsystem to a dataset after execution of handoff to retrieve capability data, wherein the query messages contain information identifying the wireless subsystem to which the handset is then connected.

Abrol teaches identifying a first and a second radio access network and initiating a mobile IP re-registration based on the identification. Abrol also teaches determining at the mobile station (MS) whether changing communication over a first radio access network to a second radio access network will cause routing ambiguity. According to Abrol, the mobile station (MS), upon moving from the coverage area of one radio access network (RAN) to another RAN, determines whether routing ambiguity may result from the change of RAN and, based on that determination, triggers a re-registration of its network address. Abrol fails to teach, suggest or disclose transmitting an indication of the latitude-longitude location of the mobile station.

Yamato fails to cure this defect. Yamato deals with transmitting radio signals from a radio base station to a radio terminal. Once a radio terminal enters the service area of the radio base station, the radio terminal sends a notification message that states it is within the radio base station service area. (Par. 0074) However, Yamato does not disclose sending a specific latitude-longitude location of the terminal.

Claims 7, 8 and 25 are dependant from claim 2, and the Applicants believe these claims are allowable over the cited references of record for the same reasons provided above. Withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §103 rejection of claims 2, 7, 8 and 25 is respectfully requested.


Conclusion

If the Examiner believes that any additional minor formal matters need to be addressed in order to place this application in condition for allowance, or that a telephonic interview will help to materially advance the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone at the Examiner's convenience.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Howard A. Heller et al.

By 

Anthony L. Venezia
Registration No. 48,382

Volpe and Koenig, P.C.
United Plaza
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-4009
Telephone: (215) 568-6400
Facsimile: (215) 568-6499

ALV/MDD/bbf